

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,014	04/05/2001	Sylvia Adae-Amoakoh	END920000149 US1	END920000149 US1 5720	
5409 7	590 11/26/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ARLEN L. OLSEN SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS			ANDUJAR, LEONARDO		
3 LEAR JET LANE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 201			2826		
LATHAM, NY	Y 12110		DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/827,014	ADAE-AMOAKOH ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	,			
	Leonardo Andújar	2826	AW			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to the could be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		1				
Claim(s) allowed: 25 and 30.		NATHAN J. FLYN	M			
Claim(s) objected to:	OUT	ERVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,20-24 and 26-29</u> .	50F	ECHNOLOGY CENTER	R 2800			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's claims 1-9, 20-24 and 26-29 do not distinguish over the prior art made of record as the reasons set forth in the final rejection, paper no. 14. Applicant argues that Tabuko does not show a material forming a layer upon the "selected area" of the top surface. Nonetheless, Tabuko (e.g. fig. 3) clearly shows this limitation. As stated in the final rejection sent on 09/03/2003, the material 33 forms a layer upon a selected area of the top surface of the layer 13a. Since the "selected area" is not defined in the claim, any area of the top surface can be recognized as "selected area". In this case, the "selected area" is recognized as the area or section of the top surface that surrounds the through-hole "microvia" where the material 33 is enclosed. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).